
Evolution of Religion and Morality Project: Wave I Dataset Materials

Harvard Dataverse Version 5.0

Last Updated: May 17, 2017

Maintained by Benjamin Grant Purzycki (email: benjamin_purzycki@eva.mpg.de)

Contents

1. Notes, Updates, and Corrigenda.....	2
<i>Version 5.0: May 17, 2017</i>	2
<i>Version 4.0: March 22, 2017</i>	2
<i>Version 3.0: February 6, 2017</i>	2
<i>Version 2.0: August 18, 2016</i>	3
2. Codebook and Notes.....	4
3. Images of Treatment Conditions in Field Sites	10
4. Publications Using This Data	15
<i>A. Synthetic Articles and Volumes</i>	15
<i>B. Case Studies</i>	15



5. **CORRECTED** errors in variable (BGLG1ST) indicating whether or not participants answered “Moralistic God” or “Local God” questions first. Three participants in Pesqueiro had “2” which originally indicated the “Local God” questions were asked first. We converted these to “0” to be consistent with the rest of the data. We also added “1” to all cells for Mauritius as this sample answered the “Moralistic God” questions first.
4. **RECALCULATED** centered age (AGE.C). As we added an additional individual (see below), the values for sample centered-at-mean age has changed slightly.
3. **ADDED participant (UCRC592)**. Initially, one Mauritius participant was deleted from the data set as their original coin data were entered incorrectly. The hard-copy reads that in the *Local Community Game*, their coin allocations were 18 for the LOCAL cup and 12 for the DISTANT cup. However, this data was originally entered as 13 and 12, respectively. As these coins appeared to go missing, this individual was deleted from the sample. We have reintroduced this participant to the data set raising the total sample size to $n = 592$.
2. **REPLACED religiosity data for CERC2 with NA**. CERC2 and CERC25 (both from Coastal Tanna) had identical religiosity data. CERC2 should have had no religiosity data entered and the actual data in both should have been only in CERC25’s row. This error was due in part to very similar original local IDs (the original error), and the subsequent correction did not replace the incorrect data with empty values. **Error included in:** SCIDATA, NATURE, and RBBGROUP.
1. **FREE-LIST DATA: RECODED free-list data about the moralistic god’s (Shiva) for Lovu Fiji**. The Lovu Fiji free-list data for moralistic deities (Shiva) was coded in error. Research assistants coded the morality free-list data twice; once in the moralistic deity cells and once correctly for the morality data. The Shiva data were subsequently recoded correctly. **Error included in NATURE, updated and acknowledged in RBBGROUP. As of February 6, 2017, these data are not yet publicly available.**

Version 2.0: August 18, 2016

No data updates for previous versions.

3. Images of Treatment Conditions in Field Sites

Note. The following images were taken in those field sites that used religious prime conditions in the experiments outlined in Purzycki, et al. (2016c), with accompanying data available online (Purzycki, et al. 2016a, b). The variable for condition therein is TREATMENT (0 = control condition; 1 = treatment condition). The following sites used prime conditions: Lovu, Mauritius, Pesqueiro, Tyva Republic, and Yasawa. Note that no images were taken of the prime condition for the Pesqueiro, Brazil site. The prime was an open Holy Bible (Bíblia Sagrada) overlaid with a cord-necklace with a wooden cross pendant. These were placed on the corner of the table on which the games were played, approximately half a meter from the seated participant and sufficiently distant that the print could not be read from the participant's game-playing position. All other project images and protocol materials can be found at the project website here: <http://www.hecc.ubc.ca/cerc/the-cultural-evolution-of-prosocial-religions/the-cultural-evolution-of-prosocial-religions-protocols/>.



Figure 1. Game conditions for Yasawa, Fiji | In Yasawa, participants played on a table with printed cloths (*sulus*). The treatment condition (A) primed Christianity, and the cloth in the control condition (B) reads “Hello” or “Life Fiji”. Photo credit: Rita A. McNamara.



Figure 2. Game setup on location with luck charm (*kamgalal*) prime in Tyva Republic | From top are: three cup dyads on a tray, luck charm, two-colored die, and thirty 10-ruble coins. The *kamgalal* is typically found above doorways or hanging from rear-view mirrors to ward of bad spirits or bring luck and wealth to people. In the control condition, participants played without the *kamgalal*. Photo credit: Benjamin Purzycki.



Figure 3. Prime for Indo-Fiji treatment condition | Among Indo-Fijians, the prime condition included a small Siva Lingam with an orange garland and small trident on a short covered table. These items were chosen because they are well-recognized abstract symbols of the god Shiva. Unlike most representations of deities in Fiji-Hinduism, they are not human-like and do not have eyes. Photo credit: Aiyana Willard.



Figure 4. Control (top) and treatment (bottom) locations for Mauritius | The control (a restaurant) and treatment (a Hindu temple) were empty at the time of the experiment, which took place inside each location. Photo credit: Dimitris Xygalatas.

